

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,574	02/27/2002	Seiji Kozono	Q68729	5897
23373 7	590 03/05/2004	EXAMINER		INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			VU, HIEN D	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/083,574	KOZONO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Hien D. Vu	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ob(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 November 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1 and 4-7 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1 and 4-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da' 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/083,574 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claim 7 features must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1, lines 15-18, the features "the engagement detector... at the second position" were not disclosed in the original specification.

 Therefore, it is not understood and considered new matter. Applicant is required to cancel the new mater in the reply to this office action.
- 3. Without the limitations in claim 1, line 15-18 as described above, the rejection stands as follows.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

5. (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,574

Art Unit: 2834

6. Claim 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Pederson et al. (115).

Insofar as the claims can be understood, the disclosure of Pederson provides a complete response to each and every element set forth in the claims. For example: Figs. 1-3 and 10a-10d show a first housing 12 with a projection 40, a second housing 14, a retainer 84, an engagement detector 16 and a lock canceller 72.

As to claim 4, a wall 76 read as the recited cover portion.

As to claim 5, an inner grove (not labeled) in the cover portion 76 for receiving the engagement detector read as the recited notch.

7. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pederson et al in view of Kouda et al.

Pederson does not show the second housing having a stopper for limit the range of movement of the lock canceller. Kouda, Fig. 4f shows a connector housing 11 having a projection 17 that reads as the recited stopper. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the connector of Pederson by providing the second connector housing with a stopper, as taught by Kouda, in order to prevent over limited movement of the lock canceller.

As to claim 7, it would have been obvious to one with skill in the art to modify the connector of Pederson et al in view of Kouda et al by providing a stopper on the lock canceller instead on the housing. Since such change produces same result and would have been obvious of reversal parts-in-order-to-achieve the desire of location.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,574 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

8. Applicant's arguments filed 11/12/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's remarks are considered to be fully addressed in the rejection above.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hein Vu at telephone number (571)272-2016.

Vu/ds

02/11/04

HIEN VU PRIMARY EXAMINER